CVE 04-0059 5

For	<b>EPA</b>	Use	Only	ID#	 _
SEC	TOR				 

## **Worksheet 5. Application Summary**

Crop: Dates  Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requested  Volume Treated with Methyl Bromide  2007	1. Consortium Name: 2. Location:		California Date Commission P.O. Box 1736, Indio, CA 92202								
Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requested 2007 6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated with Methyl Bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request:  2006 6,584   lbs.   Volume Treated 2,637 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated 4,637 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated 4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated 4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2,008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated 4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2,											
Bromide Requested   2007   6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated with   Methyl Bromide   2007   4,537   (1,000 cu ft)	. Crop:		Dates								
Methyl Bromide   2007   4,537   (1,000 cu ft)		-	200	76,805	Ibs.						
If methyl bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request:  2006 6,584 lbs. Volume Treated 2007 6,805 lbs. Volume Treated 4,390 (1,000 cu ft) 4,537 (1,000 cu ft) 4,678 (1,0											
2006 6,584 lbs. Volume Treated 4,390 (1,000 cu ft) 2007 6,805 lbs. Volume Treated 4,537 (1,000 cu ft) 2008 7,018 lbs. Volume Treated 4,678 (1,000 cu ft)  ace an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not Technically Feasible   Not Technically Feasible   Reasons	-										
2007 6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,537 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2 "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not Technically Feasible   Reasons   2009   Reaso	. If methyl bron	nide is requ	ested for additi	onal years, reaso	n for request:						
2007 6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,537 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2 "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not Technically Feasible   Reasons   2009   Reaso											
2007 6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,537 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2 "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not Technically Feasible   Reasons   2009   Reaso											
2007 6,805   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,537 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 7,018   lbs.   Volume Treated   4,678 (1,000 cu ft)   2008 2 "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not Technically Feasible   Reasons   2009   Reaso											
2008 7,018 lbs. Volume Treated 4,678 (1,000 cu ft)  ace an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.  Potential Alternatives   Not Technically Feasible   Economically Feasible   Reasons    Potential Alternatives   Yesaible   X   Would require constructing expensive new facilities, corrosing properties; plus time delay in marketing.  In the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible   Reasons    Potential Alternatives   X   Very high cost to implement. Low throughput volume.  Contact Insecticides   X   Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.  Tyrethrins   X   Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.			_lbs.	Volume Treated							
Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.    Not   Not   Technically   Feasible   Reasons			_lbs.			<del></del> ``					
Potential Alternatives    Not Technically Feasible   Not Technically Feasible	2008	7,018	_lbs.	Volume Treated	4,678	(1,000 cu ft)					
properties; plus time delay in marketing.  X Very high cost to implement. Low throughput volume.  Contact Insecticides X Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.  Pyrethrins X Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.	Potential Alternatives		Technically	Economically	Reasons						
ligh Pressure CO2  X  Very high cost to implement. Low throughput volume.  Contact Insecticides  X  Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.  Pyrethrins  X  Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.	Phosphine			X	Would require constructing expensive new facilities, corrosive						
Contact Insecticides X Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.  Pyrethrins X Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.					properties; plus tii	ne delay in marketing.					
Pyrethrins X Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.	High Pressure (	002		X	Very high cost to in	nplement. Low throughput volume	). 				
	Contact Insecticides		X		Cannot penetrate bulk fruit to location of insects.						
	Pyrethrins		X		Cannot penetrate	bulk fruit to location of insects.					
FDA F # 7000 40h											
FDA F # 7000 40h	,		1	, ,							
		***************************************									